

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ELIZABETH DE COSTER *et al.*, on behalf
of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:21-cv-00693-JHC

ORDER

DEBORAH FRAME-WILSON, *et al.*, on
behalf of themselves and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation,


Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:20-cv-00424-JHC

1 This matter comes before the Court on Amazon.com, Inc.'s Motion Requesting an
2 Evidentiary Hearing on Class Certification. Case No. 21-693, Dkt. # 382; Case No. 20-424, Dkt.
3 # 353. The Court has considered the materials filed in support of and in opposition to the
4 motion, the rest of the file, and the governing law. Being fully advised, the Court DENIES the
5 motion.

6 The record before the Court is extensive. The parties have ably and fully briefed the
7 issues. The submissions include more than 100 pages of briefing, more than 100 exhibits, and
8 more than 1,000 pages of expert reports. *See generally* Case No. 21-693 Dkt. The Court does
9 not believe that the type of hearing Amazon requests is necessary with respect to the class
10 certification issues presented. *See Tait v. BSH Home Appliances Corp.*, 289 F.R.D. 466, 492
11 (C.D. Cal. 2012) (noting that “[a]n evidentiary hearing on class certification is not required” but
12 courts “should assess all relevant evidence” in determining whether each of the Rule 23
13 requirements have been met) (citing *Bouman v. Block*, 940 F.2d 1211, 1232 (9th Cir. 1990)).

14 Dated this 30th day of July, 2025.

15
16 

17 John H. Chun
18 United States District Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24